


PROJECT 10073 RECORD

| | |
|--|---|
| 1. DATE & TIME OF SIGHTING 6 May 1968 | 2. LOCATION St. Louis, Mo |
| 3. SOURCE Civilian | 10. CONCLUSION Sighting: (INSUFFICIENT DATA) Photo: (INSUFFICIENT DATA) |
| 4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One | |
| 5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not reported | 11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS No description of sighting given. NOTE: The orig. logs. were not submitted. |
| 6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual | |
| 7. COURSE Not reported | |
| 8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

May 1967
Hartwood, Mo.



6 May 67


St. Louis Mo



6 May 67

St Louis, Mo

34




6 May 67
St. Louis, Mo



6 May 67

St. Louis, Mo.



Private Research Organization for Space and Aeronautics
(PROSA)

722 Westchester Court
Kirkwood, Missouri, 63122

Bradley M. Mueller—Director

10/17/67

Dear Sir(s): Enclosed is a photograph of a (U.F.O) I would
sincerely appreciate it if you would utilize it and send
me your ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ opinion whether it is a reflection
or a truly unidentified obj etc. I received this photo on
May 15, and it was taken on the 15, it is a reflection
on the 11th.

Very truly yours

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
10/17/67

P.S Please hurry

6 May 67

St Louis, Mo

34

PENDING ORIGINAL NEGATIVE

6 May 67

SAFOICC/Mrs Cassel/jad/79079/31 May 67

JUN 1 1967

Dear [REDACTED]

This replies to your recent letter forwarding your photograph of an alleged unidentified flying object (UFO).

The Air Force is interested in evaluating the film that you took. It will be necessary for us to have the original negative and/or photograph. Your film will be returned to you, undamaged, with our evaluation. Also, the following camera data is necessary:

- a. Type, model and make of camera.
- b. Speed, focal length, and make of lens.
- c. Brand and type of film.
- d. Shutter speed used.
- e. Lens opening used; that is, "f" stop.
- f. Filters used.
- g. Date, place and time photo was taken.

I have attached your photograph. If you decide to let us evaluate your film, we would appreciate your returning it to us, along with the information requested above.

I sincerely hope you will forward your film and the above information in the attached envelope. Your cooperation in this matter would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

BARNETT B. YOUNG
Lt Colonel, USAF
Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Attachments (envelope & photo)

Mr. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Kirkwood, Missouri 63122

COORDINATED BY:

SAFOI-CC

[Signature]

67

SAFOIC Coord cy
Cmbk cy
Rdrs cy
Acty cy
Stbk cy

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY

GENERAL OFFICES
343 STATE STREET

ROCHESTER, NEW YORK 14650

TELEPHONE
AREA CODE 716 325-2000

September 19, 1967

Mr. [REDACTED]
PROSA

[REDACTED]
Kirkwood, Missouri 63122

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

Thank you for your letter of July 20 about the Kodak Instamatic 100 Camera.

Since the Instamatic 100 Camera has been superseded by the Kodak Instamatic 104 Camera, we are happy to enclose descriptive literature for it.

It has a fixed-focus, f/11, lens, with a focal length of 43mm. The shutter speed is 1/40 of a second for flash, and 1/90 of a second without flash.

It uses 126 film in the cartridge, which we supply for black-and-white prints, color prints, and color transparencies.

We hope this information suits your needs, Mr. Mueller. Whenever we can be of photographic assistance, please let us know.

Very truly yours,

[REDACTED]

Photo Information Services
Consumer Markets Division

OJRoeth:CAH
Enc.
A-503

[REDACTED]
St. Louis, Missouri, 63121

September, 23, 1967

TIDEET/UFO

Hq. FID (AFSC)

Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Dear Sir:

I was told by the Air Force Inst.

in Washington D.C. to forward the enclosed photo to you. It came
into my possession on June 17, and was taken the day before
time was 11:45 AM, where it was taken is unknown to me. The photo was
released from the KGB's CO, in about the manner in which it was
taken. Getting the information about it one month delay in
forwarding it. I would appreciate it if you could give your opinion
(or rather evaluation) on the photo. Hope to hear from you soon.

Very Sincerely, Yours
[REDACTED]

BM/1m

May 6 1967
6 May 1967

TDDET/UFO (Maj Quintanilla/70916/mhs/30 Sep 67)

OCT 2 1967

UFO Observation & Photograph

Mr. [REDACTED]
Kirkwood, Missouri 63122

1. Reference your letter of September 21, 1967 in which you inclosed a photograph of an unidentified object.
2. Our letter of June 1, 1967 requested the original negative. Photo analysis personnel are unable to perform a comprehensive analysis with the information you provided. The negatives are needed to determine exact borders, grain structure, etc. Also, there is a discrepancy as to when the photograph was actually taken. Request you complete the attached FTD Form 164 and return it in the self-addressed envelope.
3. Due to a lapse of time in reporting your observation we may be unable to determine the stimulus which you observed since many official records are kept for a period of only thirty days.

J
JAMES C. MANATT, Colonel, USAF
Director of Technology and Subsystems

1 Atch
FTD Form 164 w/envelope

TDDET/UFO OFFICIAL FILE CY

This case contains 5, 8"x10"
photos, 1, 3½"x3½" photo and
1, 4"x5" negative

6 May 1967
Hickwood, Mo.

PPT CC10212

Make 1 neg and 6 8x10 enlargements.

